UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

A&E TELEVISION NETWORKS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and D&D TELEVISION PRODUCTIONS, INC., a New York corporation,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PIVOT POINT ENTERTAINMENT, LLC, a California limited liability company; DUANE CHAPMAN, an individual; and ALICE BARMORE-SMITH CHAPMAN, an individual,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS AND CROSS-CLAIMS

10 Civ. 9422 (AJN)(JLC) ECF Case

DUANE AND BETH CHAPMAN'S RESPONSES TO PIVOT POINT ENTERTAINMENT LLC'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF ANDREW B. BRETTLER

Action Filed: December 17, 2010

Defendants/Cross-Claimants Duane Chapman ("Dog") and Beth Chapman ("Beth"), erroneously sued as Alice Barmore-Smith Chapman (collectively, the "Chapmans"), hereby submit the following Responses to Pivot Point Entertainment LLC's ("Pivot Point") Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of Andrew B. Brettler, dated August 24, 2012, in Opposition to Pivot Point's Motion for Summary Judgment.

RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO BRETTLER DECLARATION

Evidence:

Andrew B. Brettler Decl. ¶13. Exhibit 82 contains a May 25, 2005 email from Mr. Krutonog's ex-wife, Maureen Kedes, to Kathy Lee with a copy to Mr. Krutonog. The copy marked at the deposition does not bear a production number.

Objection:

Lacks foundation, and there is no showing that Mr. Brettler has the requisite knowledge to

provide the evidentiary support to make this document admissible. Ms. Kedes was not examined

in deposition in this interpleader action, and her testimony, if any, is not set out here. Neither

Krutonog nor Pivot was a party to the Chapmans lawsuit against her, which the Chapmans

voluntarily dismissed given that it was without any merit.

Response:

Mr. Brettler's testimony pertains to the authenticity of an exhibit introduced and marked at

the deposition of Boris Krutonog in this action, which Mr. Brettler reviewed first-hand. The exhibit

in question was admitted as evidence at the California Labor Commissioner initiated by the

Chapmans against Mr. Krutonog. Mr. Krutonog and his counsel attended the Labor Commissioner

proceedings, at which this document, originally prepared by Mr. Krutonog's ex-wife, was

introduced. Mr. Krutonog was also questioned about this exhibit at his deposition in this action.

Mr. Brettler's testimony is descriptive of the contents of Exhibit 82, and is submitted to demonstrate

the relevance of Exhibit 82. Pivot Point did not specifically object to the exhibit itself, or the

contents thereof.

DATED: Los Angeles, California October 18, 2012

ORMORATION

Martin D. Singer (pro hac vice)

mdsinger@lavelysinger.com

Paul N. Sorrell (pro hac vice) psorrell@lavelysinger.com

Andrew B. Brettler (AB2662)

abrettler@lavelysinger.com

2049 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-3501 Facsimile: (310) 556-3615

Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Claimants
Duane "Dog" Chapman and Beth Chapman